Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03098 281559 Z

47

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 USIA-12 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-10 EB-11 COME-00 OMB-01 SCEM-02

OEP-01 ACDA-19 RSR-01 EURE-00 /137 W ----- 076007

P 281420 Z JUN 73
FM USMISSION NATO
TO USCINCEUR PRIORITY
INFO USNMR PRIORITY
SECDEF PRIORITY
SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0641
AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 3098

E. O. 11652: GDS 79

TAGS: NATO, FR, ETRN, ENRG

SUBJECT: CENTRAL EUROPE PIPELINE SYSTEM (CEPS)

REF: STATE 123102

1. REQUEST USEUCOM ASSISTANCE IN PROVIDING FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR USE IN DEVELOPING OUR REPLY TO REFERENCED MESSAGE:

A. USEUCOM ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT (FROM BOTH FINANCIAL AND READINESS STANDPOINTS) ON U. S. FORCES IN EUROPE WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM A FRENCH DENIAL OR SUSPENSION OF USE IN PEACETIME BY U. S. OF THE DONGES- METZ PIPELINE AND RELATED STORAGE FACILTIES. WHAT ALTERNATIVES WOULD MEET U. S. REQUIREMENTS AND IN WHAT TIME FRAMES?

B. INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF ANY PRESENT NEGOTIATIONS
TO REVISE AND IMPROVE THE TERMS OF THE TECHNICAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN
THE US FORCES IN EUROPE AND FRANCE FOR USE OF THE DONGES- METZ LINE
AND AN ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT WHICH WOULD BE EXPECTED ON THESE
NEGOTIATIONS FROM A CONFRONTATION AND STALEMATE IN CEPPC OVER ISSUES
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03098 281559 Z

OF FRENCH NON- MILITARY TARIFFS, TAXES AND NATO AUDITORS ACCESS TO FRENCH INTERNAL ACCOUNTS. WHAT IS THE MAGNITUDE OF BENEFITS TO THE U. S. THAT IT IS HOPED TO ACHIEVE IN A REVISION OF THE DONGESMETZ TECHNICAL AGREEMENT?

- C. ESTIMATED DIFFERENCE IN NET COST TO U. S. WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM NON- USE OF CEPS IN FRANCE, USING ASSUMPTION THAT FRENCH PORTION IS ROUGHLY SELF- SUPPORTING AND NEITHER CONTRIBUTES TO PRESENT DEFICIT NOR DOES IT PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REVENUES TO REDUCE DEFICIT GENERATED IN OTHER PARTS OF SYSTEM.
- 2. WE WOULD APPRECIATE RECEIVING YOUR INFORMATION REFLECTING ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS AND EFFECT ON YOUR PRESENT PETROLEUM WARREADINESS POSTURE BY 9 JULY 73 IN ORDER TO PERMIT TIMELY REPLY TO REFERENCED MESSAGE FROM SECSTATE. PRENDERGAST

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 02 APR 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 JUN 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO03098

Document Number: 1973NATO03098 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730634/abqceaov.tel Line Count: 68

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: STATE 123102 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 AUG 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21-Aug-2001 by boyleja>; RELEASED <21-Aug-2001 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <20-Sep-2001 by boyleja>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CENTRAL EUROPE PIPELINE SYSTEM (CEPS)
TAGS: NATO, FR, ETRN, ENRG
To: USCINCEUR INFO USNMR

SECDEF STATE PARIS Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005